

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE                              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,828                                | 08/09/2006                               | Paul Bamborough      | PB60713USW          | 6756             |
| 23347<br>GLAXOSMIT                        | 7590 11/14/200<br>THKLINE                | 98                   | EXAM                | UNER             |
| CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482 |  |                      | LOEWE, SUN JAE Y    |                  |
|   | E DR., PO BOX 13398<br>FRIANGLE PARK, NC | 27709-3398           | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   | ,  |                      | 1626                |                  |
|   |  |                      |                     |                  |
|   |  |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |  |                      | 11/14/2008          | ELECTRONIC .     |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

## Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/597,828      | BAMBOROUGH ET A | L.           |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |              |  |
| SUN JAE Y LOEWE | 1626            |              |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
   Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

| Status |                         |                                  |  |
|--------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1)🖂    | Responsive to communica | tion(s) filed on 27 August 2008. |  |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is FINAL    | 2h)☐ This action is non-final    |  |

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

| 4) Claim(s) 10 and 19 is/are pending in the application.            |
|---|
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.      |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 19</u> is/are rejected.                      |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.                                     |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |

### Application Papers

| <li>9) The specification is objected</li> | to by the Examiner.  |
|---|--|
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on               | _ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. |
|   |  |

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

| ~/L / | 2) Como 0 C None 0 C  |
|-------|---|
| 1.    | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                                  |
| 2.    | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                 |
| 3 □   | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National St |

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment/s

| Attachment(s)  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date                    |  |
| 3) Anformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/05)       | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-27-2008.                         | 6) Other:                                |  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/597,828

Art Unit: 1626

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 10 and 19 are pending in the instant application.

#### Response to Amendment

2. The amendments to the claims filed on August 27, 2008 have been fully considered. All outstanding grounds of rejection have been overcome and are thus hereby withdrawn: claim objections (Sections 7 and 8), 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection (Section 9), 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph (Section 10), 35 USC 103 (Section 11).

Note: Section numbers refer to those from the office action dated June 2, 2008.

 Pursuant MPEP 803.02, the search and examination was extended. Prior art was found that makes obvious the non-elected species of

5-(1H-benzimidazol-1-yi)-3-[(phenylmethyl)oxy]-2-thiophenecarbonitrile. Therefore, the Markush claims were rejected and non-elected species maintained withdrawn from further consideration

## Claim Objections

4. Claims 10 and 19 objected to for containing non-elected subject matter. The non-elected subject matter consists of compounds that are not the elected species of Example 11. Applicant will be entitled to rejoinder and examination of non-elected species upon allowability of the generic claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/597,828

Art Unit: 1626

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 10 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Andrews et al.
   (WO 2004/014899).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in

Application/Control Number: 10/597,828

Art Unit: 1626

the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

## Determination of the scope and contents of prior art.

The reference teaches the following compounds as having the same utility as instantly claimed:

The reference further teaches the following preferred embodiment:

## Ascertaining the differences between prior art and instant claims.

The following modification to the prior art compound (ie. preferred embodiment above) results in the instant non-elected species: replace hydrogen for methyl at the 2 position of the phenyl ring. Thus, the prior art compound is the next lower homolog of the instant non-elected species.

#### Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art - Prima Facie Case of Obviousness.

To those skilled in chemical art, one homologue is not an advance over an adjacent member of a homologous series. The reason for this is that one of ordinary skill, knowing the properties of one member of series, would know what properties to expect in adjacent members. In re Henze, 85 USPQ 261 (1950). In re Wood, 199 U.S.P.Q. 137 (C.C.P.A. 1978) and In re Lohr, 137 U.S.P.Q. 548, 549 (C.C.P.A. 1963).

One of ordinary skill would be motivated, from the prior art disclosure – ie. generic teaching and the preferred embodiment - to make the modification required to arrive at

Application/Control Number: 10/597,828

Art Unit: 1626

the instant invention with reasonable expectation of success for obtaining an additional compound for the same utility. The motivation would be to make an additional anticonvulsant materials.

Thus, the instant claims are prima facie obvious over the teaching of the prior art.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUN JAE Y. LOEWE whose telephone number is (571)272-9074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 Est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,828 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sun Jae Y. Loewe/ 11-5-2008 /Kamal A Saced./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626